United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,757	10/29/2003	John A. Sollars JR.	2056В	6684
John E. Vick, J	7590 01/05/2007	e ^r	EXAMINER CULBRETH, ERIC D	
Legal Departm				
PO Box 1926 Spartanburg, SC 29304			. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			· 3616	
CHARTNED CT AT ITAL	NA BEDIOD OF BESTONES	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE			DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		. 01/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/696,757	SOLLARS, JOHN A.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Eric Culbreth	3616		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 Description</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4)	vn from consideration.	·		
Application Papers	•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the contract of the contract	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to, See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/22/06.	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application		

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 10-12, 14-15, 18-19, 22-23 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japanese Patent 50-145875 (cited by applicant).

Japanese '875 discloses an inflatable airbag cushion made of multiple fabric layers and "closely" spaced interconnected woven in joints that resist gas permeation comprising in Figure 4 first and second woven layers each having yarns running in a warp direction 2 and a weft direction 1 (English translation of symbols). There are first and second interconnected joints at either end of bag interval parts B and C, the joints running parallel to each other. In interval B, the number of yarns between joints is 6 in Figures 2 and 4 (between 2 and 12 in each layer, no more than eight) in each layer (claims 10-12, 18-19). At least some of the weft yarns 1 are crossover yarns switching back and forth from the first layer to the second layer in Figures 2 and 4, with the crossover yarns free of floats at the joints (claim 10). As broadly recited the crossover yarns are in a plain weave configuration that extends across the joints (claim 14). The interconnected joints each form a seam (claim 18). The layers are free of connection between the first and second joints (claim 22). As broadly and functionally recited, the cushion is a woven fabric of "dobby" construction (claim 23). The joints run in the weft

Art Unit: 3616

direction 2, and the crossover yarns pass over and under warp yarns in the joints (claims 15 and 37).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 13, 16, 20 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese '875.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Japanese '875 to include between 2 and 4 yarns for each of the first and second layers (instead of 6 as disclosed in Japanese '875) as an obvious matter of design choice, as the specification gives no stated reason or particular

Art Unit: 3616

purpose for the number of yarns between the joints, and the invention would appear to work with numbers of yarns similar to Japanese '875, so long as there are short intervals of the air bag (claims 13 and 20). The crossover yarns are in a plain weave (claim 16). Similarly, regarding claim 38, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Japanese '875 so that the joints run in the weft direction, as the specification gives no stated purpose or particular reason for the directions of the joints, and the invention would appear to work just as well if the joints ran in the direction of Japanese '875.

Page 4

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Culbreth whose telephone number is 571/272-6668. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 9:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on 571/272-6669. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Eric Culbreth
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3616